arXiv:1305.0776v1 [cs.DM] 3 May 2013

Mixing of the Glauber dynamics for the ferromagnetic Potts model

Magnus Bordewich*
School of Engineering and Computing Sciences
Durham University
Durham, DH1 3LE, UK
`m.j.r.bordewich@durham.ac.uk`

Catherine Greenhill†
School of Mathematics and Statistics
The University of New South Wales
Sydney NSW 2052, Australia
`csg@unsw.edu.au`

Viresh Patel‡
School of Mathematics
University of Birmingham
Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT
`v.patel.3@bham.ac.uk`

Abstract

We present several results on the mixing time of the Glauber dynamics for sampling from the Gibbs distribution in the ferromagnetic Potts model. At a fixed temperature and interaction strength, we study the interplay between the maximum degree (Δ) of the underlying graph and the number of colours or spins (q) in determining whether the dynamics mixes rapidly or not. We find a lower bound L on the number of colours such that Glauber dynamics is rapidly mixing if at least L colours are used. We give a closely-matching upper bound U on the number of colours such that with probability that tends to 1, the Glauber dynamics mixes slowly on random Δ-regular graphs when at most U colours are used. We show that our bounds can be improved if we restrict attention to certain types of graphs of maximum degree Δ, e.g. toroidal grids for $\Delta = 4$.

1 Introduction

The Potts model was introduced in 1952 [23] as a generalisation of the Ising model of magnetism. The Potts model has been extensively studied not only in statistical physics, but also in computer science, mathematics and further afield. In physics the main

---

*Supported by EPSRC grant EP/G066604/1

†Research supported by the Australian Research Council and performed while the second author was on sabbatical at the University of Durham.

‡Research performed while the third author was at the University of Durham. Supported by EPSRC Grant EP/G066604/1

interest is in studying phase transitions and modelling the evolution of non-equilibrium particle systems; see [30] for a survey. In computer science, the Potts model is a test-bed for approximation algorithms and techniques. It has also been heavily studied in the areas of discrete mathematics and graph theory, through an equivalence to the Tutte polynomial of a graph [29], and thereby links to the chromatic polynomial and many other graph invariants. The Potts model and its extensions have also appeared many times in the social sciences, for example in modelling financial markets [28] and voter interaction in social networks [5], and in biology [13].

In graph-theoretic language, the Potts model assigns a weight to each possible colouring of a graph (not necessarily proper), and we are interested in sampling from the distribution induced by the weights. The main obstacle to sampling is that the appropriate normalisation factor, the sum of the weights of all colourings, is hard to compute. To be precise: for a graph $G = (V, E)$, a configuration σ is a function which assigns to each vertex one of q possible colours (also called states or spins). The probability of finding the system in a given configuration σ is given by the Gibbs distribution:

$$\pi(\sigma) = Z^{-1} e^{\beta \sum_{(i,j)\in E} J\delta(\sigma_i,\sigma_j)},$$

where $\delta(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ is the Kronecker-δ (taking value 1 if the colours σ_i and σ_j, assigned to vertices i and j respectively, are the same, and taking value 0 otherwise), $\beta = (kT)^{-1} > 0$ is the inverse temperature (k is Boltzman's constant and T is temperature), and $Z = Z(G, \beta, J)$, the partition function, is the appropriate normalisation factor to make this a probability distribution. The strength of the interaction between neighbouring vertices is given by the coupling constant J. If $J > 0$ then the bias is towards having many edges with like colours at the endpoints; this is the ferromagnetic region. Conversely, if $J < 0$ then the bias is towards few edges with like colours at the endpoints: the anti-ferromagnetic region. In our treatment we will regard $e^{\beta J}$ as a single parameter λ, which we will call the *fugacity* since this combined parameter plays the same role as the fugacity in lattice gas models. Setting $\mu(\sigma)$ to be the number of monochromatic edges in a colouring σ (that is, $\mu(\sigma) = \sum_{(i,j)\in E} \delta(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$), we obtain the equivalent formula $Z(G, \lambda) = \sum_\sigma \lambda^{\mu(\sigma)}$.

When $q = 1$ the evaluation of the partition function is trivial. In almost all other cases it is #P-hard to compute the partition function exactly, and thus there can be no efficient algorithm (running in time polynomial in the size of the underlying graph) if P$\neq$NP [16]. Therefore attention has been focused on approximation algorithms. The specific question is: for what classes of graphs and what ranges of q and λ is there a fully polynomial randomised approximation scheme (FPRAS) for the partition function? In the anti-ferromagnetic case, $\lambda < 1$, there can be no FPRAS for the partition function unless NP=RP [12], except when $q = 1$ and at a few special points. For the ferromagnetic region, $\lambda > 1$, there is only known to be an FPRAS when $q = 2$ (the Ising model) for general graphs at any temperature [19]. There is also an FPRAS for the entire ferromagnetic region (no restriction on q) if we restrict the underlying graphs to the class of dense graphs (those having minimum degree $\Omega(n)$ [1], or having edge connectivity at least $\Omega(\log n)$ [21]). In terms of approximation complexity, approximating the partition function of the ferromagnetic Potts model is equivalent to #BIS - the problem of approximating the number of independent sets in a bipartite graph [11]. This puts it in an interesting class of approximation problems not known to be hard, but none of which have been shown to exhibit an FPRAS [6].

A standard approach to approximating the partition function is to simulate *Glauber dynamics*. In Glauber dynamics the following process is iterated (starting from any given configuration): a random vertex updates its colouring by selecting a colour according to the local Gibbs distribution induced by the current colourings of its neighbours. (This will be formalised in the next subsection.) The distribution on configurations obtained after t steps of Glauber dynamics converges to an equilibrium given by the global Gibbs distribution on the whole graph, as t goes to infinity. The approximation is achieved by simulating the Glauber dynamics for long enough to generate a sample that is distributed with very nearly the equilibrium distribution. This process is Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling (MCMC) [20]. The close link between sampling and approximate counting means that if Glauber dynamics gets sufficiently close to equilibrium in polynomial time (in the size of the graph) then there is an FPRAS for the partition function. In this case the dynamics is said to mix rapidly. Physicists' understanding of phase transitions indicate that at sufficiently high temperature (all other things being equal) Glauber dynamics will mix rapidly, whereas at sufficiently low temperature Glauber dynamics will mix slowly [22]. However, determining the exact range of temperature in which Glauber dynamics mixes rapidly is, in general, open.

In the anti-ferromagnetic case, where it is known that there can be no FPRAS in general, the MCMC technique has still yielded many results approximating the partition function for restricted classes of graph, notably bounded-degree graphs. In the zero temperature limit of the anti-ferromagnetic Potts model only proper vertex colourings have non-zero weight. Thus approximating the partition function is equivalent to approximately counting proper q-colourings of the underlying graph. Jerrum [17] first showed that provided the number of colours is more than twice the maximum degree of the graph then the Glauber dynamics will mix rapidly, also proved independently in the physics community by Salas and Sokal [24]. This result has been followed by numerous refinements gradually reducing the ratio of colours to degree required for rapid mixing: see [10] for a recent survey. In this paper we shall investigate the interplay of the maximum degree Δ of the graph G and the number of colours q in determining whether the convergence of Glauber dynamics for the *ferromagnetic* Potts model is fast (rapid mixing) or slow.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to Ostap Hryniv and Gregory Markowsky for leading us to the generalised Hölder's inequality (and to [9]) for Lemma 3.5. We are also grateful to Mario Ullrich for his helpful comments.

1.1 Definitions

Throughout we shall be concerned with discrete-time, reversible, ergodic Markov chains with finite state space Ω. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be such a Markov chain with transition matrix P and (unique) stationary distribution π. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \Omega$, we define

$$\tau_x(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon) = \min\{t : \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{TV} \le \varepsilon\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{TV}$ denotes total variation distance between two distributions: that is,

$$\|\phi - \phi'\|_{TV} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \Omega} |\phi(x) - \phi'(x)|.$$

for any two probability distributions ϕ, ϕ' on Ω. We define $\tau(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon) = \max_x \tau_x(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon)$.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with $n := |V|$, and let $[q] = \{1, \ldots, q\}$ be a set of colours. We write $\Omega = [q]^V$ for the set of (not necessarily proper) q-colourings of G. Fix a constant $\lambda > 1$, which is called the *fugacity*. The *Gibbs distribution* $\pi = \pi(G, \lambda, q)$ on Ω is given by

$$\pi(\sigma) \propto \lambda^{\mu(\sigma)}$$

for all $\sigma \in \Omega$, where $\mu(\sigma)$ denotes the number of monochromatic edges of G in the colouring σ. More precisely, $\pi(\sigma) = \lambda^{\mu(\sigma)}/Z$, where Z is the partition function

$$Z = Z(G, \lambda, q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega} \lambda^{\mu(\sigma)}.$$

The *Glauber dynamics* is a very simple Markov chain on Ω, with stationary distribution given by the Gibbs distribution. Given a colouring $X \in \Omega$, a vertex $v \in V$, and a colour $c \in [q]$, let $n(X, v, c)$ denote the number of neighbours of v with colour c in X. Define the probability distribution ϕ_X^v on $[q]$ by

$$\phi_X^v(c) \propto \lambda^{n(X,v,c)}.$$

The transition procedure of the Glauber dynamics from current state $X_t \in \Omega$ is as follows:

- choose a vertex v of G uniformly at random;
- choose a colour $c \in [q]$ according to the distribution $\phi = \phi_{X_t}^v$;
- for each $u \in V$ let $X_{t+1}(u) = \begin{cases} X_t(u) & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ c & \text{if } u = v. \end{cases}$

Then X_{t+1} is the new state. We write $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GD}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{GD}}(G, \lambda, q)$ for the Glauber dynamics as described above.

We say that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GD}}$ *mixes rapidly* if $\tau(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon)$ is polynomial in $\log |\Omega|$, that is, polynomial in n. If $\tau(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon)$ is exponential in n, then we say that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GD}}$ *mixes slowly*.

1.2 Results

Our main results are stated below. In order to keep the presentation simple at this stage, we sometimes postpone giving the explicit relationships amongst constants and mixing times until later, but in each case, we direct the reader to where a more detailed statement can be found.

In Theorem 1.1 we present our first, and simplest, bound on the number of colours, as a function of λ and Δ, that guarantees rapid mixing of Glauber dynamics. Although Theorem 1.1 follows from a standard coupling argument, for completeness we prove it here, as we will need this result later to establish our improved bounds.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Delta, q \geq 2$ be integers and take $\lambda > 1$ such that $q \geq \Delta\lambda^{\Delta} + 1$. Then the Glauber dynamics of the q-state Potts model at fugacity λ mixes rapidly for the class of graphs of maximum degree Δ.*

Theorem 1.1 will be proved in Section 2.2; see Proposition 2.2 for a more detailed statement.

There is some overlap between Theorem 1.1 and a result of Hayes [15, Proposition 14] for $q = 2$, which was generalised to arbitrary q by Ullrich [27, Corollary 2.14]. Ullrich showed that when the inverse temperature β satisfies $\beta \leq 2c/\Delta$ for some $0 < c < 1$, then the Glauber dynamics is rapidly mixing, with mixing time bounded above by $(1-c)^{-1}\, n \log(n\varepsilon^{-1})$. Since $\lambda = e^{\beta}$, the lower bound on q in the statement of Theorem 1.1 can be expressed as

$$\beta \leq \frac{1}{\Delta} \log\left(\frac{q-1}{\Delta}\right).$$

Hence our result holds for a wider range of β when $q > e^2\Delta + 1$, while if $q \leq \Delta + 1$ then Theorem 1.1 does not apply but [27, Corollary 2.14] is valid. For intermediate q, both results apply.

In Theorem 1.2 we improve the exponent of λ in the bound, but at the expense of a larger constant. We also show that the exponent achieved is close to the best possible, by proving a corresponding slow-mixing bound for almost all regular graphs of degree Δ.

Theorem 1.2. *Fix an integer $\Delta \geq 2$. For any $\eta \in (0, 1)$ there are constants c_1 and c_2 (depending on η and Δ), such that for any integer $q \geq 2$ and any $\lambda > 1$*

(i) *if $q > c_1\lambda^{\Delta-1+\eta}$ then the Glauber dynamics of the q-state Potts model at fugacity λ mixes rapidly for the class of connected graphs of maximum degree Δ;*

(ii) *if $q < c_2\lambda^{\Delta-1-\frac{1}{\Delta-1}-\eta}$ then the Glauber dynamics of the q-state Potts model at fugacity λ mixes slowly for almost all regular graphs of degree $\Delta \geq 3$.*

Theorem 1.2 is proved at the end of the paper: a more detailed statement of Theorem 1.2(i) can be found in Theorem 2.14, while a more detailed statement of Theorem 1.2(ii) can be found in Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 1.2(ii) is proved using a conductance argument. It turns out that conductance for the Glauber dynamics is related to the expansion properties of the underlying graph, and so we prove that almost all Δ-regular graphs have the relevant property. This argument alone gives a worse bound than that in Theorem 1.2(ii), but combined with the solution of an interesting extremal problem (proved in Section 3), which we believe may be of independent interest, we are able to obtain the required improvement.

Theorem 1.2(i) is proved by first using a coupling argument to prove a rapid-mixing result for block dynamics (a more general form of dynamics than Glauber dynamics) and then using a Markov chain comparison argument to obtain rapid mixing for Glauber dynamics. In proving Theorem 1.2(i), we derive a general combinatorial condition on graphs that guarantees rapid mixing of Glauber dynamics (Theorem 2.4 combined with Corollary 2.13). This condition can be used to improve the bounds of Theorem 1.2(i) for graph classes of maximum degree Δ with "low expansion". We illustrate this in Theorem 1.3 below with the example of the toroidal grid.

Theorem 1.3. *For any $\eta \in (0,1)$ there are constants c_3, c_4 and c_5 (depending on η), such that for any positive integer q and any $\lambda > 1$*

(i) *if $q > c_3\lambda^{3+\eta}$ then the Glauber dynamics of the q-state Potts model at fugacity λ mixes rapidly for the class of connected graphs of maximum degree 4;*

(ii) *if $q > c_4\lambda^{2+\eta}$ then the Glauber dynamics of the q-state Potts model at fugacity λ mixes rapidly for the toroidal grid;*

(iii) *if $q < c_5\lambda^{2\frac{2}{3}-\eta}$ then the Glauber dynamics of the q-state Potts model at fugacity λ mixes slowly for almost all regular graphs of degree 4.*

In particular, for sufficiently large λ there is a positive integer q such that the Glauber dynamics of the q-state Potts model at fugacity λ mixes rapidly for the toroidal grid, but slowly for almost all regular graphs of degree 4.

The purpose of Theorem 1.3 is illustrative and it is proved at the end of the paper. Theorem 1.3(i) and (iii) are immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2 (by substituting $\Delta = 4$), while Theorem 1.3(ii) is a useful illustration of our general technique applied to the grid. A more detailed statement of Theorem 1.3(ii) is given as part of Theorem 2.15.

We note that much sharper bounds for the grid are known than are presented here. It is known that for the infinite 2-dimensional grid, the phase transition occurs at $q = (\lambda-1)^2$ [30], and that rapid mixing occurs for finite grids when $q > (\lambda-1)^2 = O(\lambda^2)$ [22] and Theorem 2.10 of [27]. Corresponding slow-mixing results for the grid also hold; see [3] and Remark 2.11 of [27].

Section 2 contains our results on rapid mixing of Glauber dynamics. Section 3 is devoted to an extremal problem whose solution allows us to obtain improved bounds for our slow-mixing results in Section 4.

2 Mixing time upper bounds

Our goal in this section is to give good lower bounds on the number of colours needed for the Glauber dynamics to mix rapidly. We begin by describing the notions of coupling and path coupling, which are very useful tools in proving upper bounds on mixing times for Markov chains. In Section 2.2, we apply path coupling directly to the Glauber dynamics of bounded-degree graphs to obtain our first lower bound on the number of colours needed for rapid mixing. In Section 2.3, we consider block dynamics, a more general type of dynamics that can be used to sample from the Gibbs distribution. We give a general lower bound on the number of colours needed for rapid mixing of block dynamics (Theorem 2.3). We illustrate how to apply Theorem 2.3 to bounded-degree graphs in Section 2.4. In Section 2.5, we relate the mixing times of Glauber dynamics to that of the block dynamics and show how this gives various improvements to the bounds obtained in Section 2.2. This enables us, in Theorems 2.14 and 2.15, to prove what is needed for Theorem 1.2 part (i), and Theorem 1.3 parts (i) and (ii). Note that the final proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are left until we have all the pieces, at the end of Section 4.

2.1 Coupling

The notion of coupling (more specifically path coupling [4]) lies at the heart of our proofs of upper bounds for mixing times. We give the basic setup in this section.

Let $\mathcal{M} = (X_t)$ be a Markov chain with transition matrix P. A *coupling* for $\mathcal{M}$ is a stochastic process (A_t, B_t) on $\Omega \times \Omega$ such that each of (A_t) and (B_t), considered independently, is a faithful copy of (X_t). Since all our processes are time-homogeneous, a coupling is determined by its transition matrix: given elements (a, b) and (a', b') of $\Omega \times \Omega$, let $P'((a, b), (a', b'))$ be the probability that $(A_{t+1}, B_{t+1}) = (a', b')$ given that $(A_t, B_t) = (a, b)$. Since (A_t, B_t) is a coupling, for each fixed $(a, b) \in \Omega \times \Omega$, we have

$$\sum_{b' \in \Omega} P'((a, b), (a', b')) = P(a, a') \quad \text{for all } a' \in \Omega;$$
$$\sum_{a' \in \Omega} P'((a, b), (a', b')) = P(b, b') \quad \text{for all } b' \in \Omega.$$

Under *path coupling*, the coupling is only defined on a subset Λ of $\Omega \times \Omega$. This restricted coupling is then extended to a coupling on the whole of $\Omega \times \Omega$ along paths in the state space Ω. In our setting, we have $\Omega = [q]^V$, where V is the vertex set of some fixed graph. For $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega$, we write $d(\sigma, \sigma')$ for the number of vertices on which σ and σ' differ in colour (that is, the Hamming distance). Define $\Lambda \subseteq \Omega \times \Omega$ by

$$\Lambda = \{(\sigma, \sigma') : d(\sigma, \sigma') = 1\}.$$

The key property of Λ required for the path coupling method is that for any $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Omega$, by recolouring the $d(\sigma, \sigma')$ disagree vertices one by one in an arbitrary order, we obtain a path of length $d(\sigma, \sigma')$ from σ to σ', with consecutive elements of the path corresponding to an element of Λ.

Lemma 2.1 (See [8] for example)**.** *Let $\Omega = [q]^V$ and Λ be as above, with $n := |V|$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be some Markov chain on Ω. Suppose that we can define a coupling $(A, B) \mapsto (A', B')$ for $\mathcal{M}$ on Λ such that for some constant $\beta < 1$ and all $(A, B) \in \Lambda$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}(d(A', B') \mid (A, B)) \leq \beta.$$

Then by path coupling we may conclude that

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\log(n\,\varepsilon^{-1})}{1 - \beta}.$$

2.2 Glauber dynamics

Our goal in this subsection is to prove Theorem 1.1. In the subsections that follow, we shall see how we can improve Proposition 2.2 in some special cases, but in Section 4, we shall see that the bound given below is close to best possible, at least in terms of the exponent of λ.

We actually prove the following proposition, which immediately implies Theorem 1.1 but also provides a bound on the mixing time. The proof is a standard coupling calculation.

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a graph with maximum degree Δ, and fix a fugacity $\lambda > 1$. Suppose that q is an integer which satisfies $q \geq \Delta\lambda^{\Delta} + 1$. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}(G, \lambda, q)$ denotes the Glauber dynamics for the q-state Potts model on G with fugacity λ. Then*

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}, \varepsilon) \leq (\Delta + 1)\, n \log(n\,\varepsilon^{-1}).$$

Proof. Fix $(A, B) \in \Lambda$ and let u be the (unique) vertex which is coloured differently by A and B. We define a coupling $(A, B) \mapsto (A', B')$ as follows: choose a vertex v of G uniformly at random, and obtain A' (respectively, B') by updating the colour of the vertex v in A (respectively, B) according to the distributions $\phi_A := \phi_A^v$ (respectively, $\phi_B := \phi_B^v$). The joint distribution on (ϕ_A, ϕ_B) is chosen so as to maximise the probability that $A'(v) = B'(v)$. Call this maximised probability $p = p(v, A, B)$. It is not hard to see that

$$1 - p = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c \in [q]} |\phi_A(c) - \phi_B(c)| = \|\phi_A - \phi_B\|_{TV}.$$

Observe that $p(v, A, B) = 1$ if $v = u$ or if v is not a neighbour of u (because in both cases, A and B assign the same colours to the neighbours of v and so ϕ_A and ϕ_B are the same distribution).

Now assume that v is a neighbour of u, so that ϕ_A and ϕ_B are different distributions. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $A(u) = 1$ and $B(u) = 2$. Let $a_i := n(A, v, i)$, that is, a_i is the number of neighbours of v coloured i by A. Similarly, let $b_i := n(B, v, i)$. Note that $b_1 = a_1 - 1$, $b_2 = a_2 + 1$ and $b_i = a_i$ for $i = 3, \ldots, q$. Define

$$Z_A = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda^{a_i} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_B = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda^{b_i} \;=\; Z_A + (1 - \lambda^{-1})(\lambda^{a_2+1} - \lambda^{a_1}),$$

and assume without loss of generality that $Z_B \le Z_A$. It is easy to see that $\phi_A(i) \le \phi_B(i)$ for $i = 2, \ldots, q$ and hence $\phi_A(1) \ge \phi_B(1)$. Thus

$$\|\phi_A - \phi_B\|_{TV} = \max_{R \subseteq [q]} |\phi_A(R) - \phi_B(R)| = |\phi_A(1) - \phi_B(1)| = \frac{\lambda^{a_1}}{Z_A} - \frac{\lambda^{b_1}}{Z_B}.$$

Given $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_q) \in [\Delta]^q$, define $f(\boldsymbol{a}, \lambda, q) = \frac{\lambda^{a_1}}{Z_A} - \frac{\lambda^{b_1}}{Z_B}$, and let $g(\lambda, q)$ be the maximum of f over all $\boldsymbol{a} \in [\Delta]^q$ subject to $a_1 + \cdots + a_q = \Delta$.

Observe that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(d(A', B') - 1 \middle| (A, B)\right) = (-1)\mathbb{P}(v = u) + \sum_{v \in N(u)} (1 - p(v, A, B)) \le -\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\Delta}{n} g(\lambda, q).$$

We give an easy upper bound for $g(\lambda, q)$ as follows. First, for all $\boldsymbol{a} \in [\Delta]^q$ we have

$$f(\boldsymbol{a}, \lambda, q) \le \frac{\lambda^{a_1}}{Z_A}.$$

The right hand side of the above is increasing in all directions of the form $e_1 - e_i$, where $e_1, \ldots, e_q$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^q$. Therefore the right hand side is maximised when $\boldsymbol{a} = (\Delta, 0, \ldots, 0)$ giving

$$g(\lambda, q) \le \frac{\lambda^\Delta}{\lambda^\Delta + q - 1} \le \frac{1}{\Delta + 1},$$

using the lower bound on q to obtain the final inequality. Therefore.

$$\mathbb{E}\left(d(A', B') \middle| (A, B)\right) \le 1 + \frac{1}{n}\left(-1 + \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + 1}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{(\Delta + 1)n}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 completes the proof. □

2.3 Block dynamics

In this section we begin the analysis of *block dynamics* in which, at each step, the colours of several vertices (or a *block* of vertices) are updated. We first present the framework and show general results on block dynamics. In the next subsection we discuss suitable choices of blocks and, in Theorem 2.7, show rapid mixing of block dynamics for certain block systems.

As before, let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, fix $\lambda > 1$ and let $\Omega = [q]^V$, where $[q] = \{1, \ldots, q\}$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \ldots, S_R\}$ be a collection of subsets of V such that $\cup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S = V$. Each element of $\mathcal{S}$ is called a *block*, and we call $\mathcal{S}$ a *block system* for G. Fix a probability distribution ψ on $\mathcal{S}$. We define a Markov chain $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}}^{\mathcal{S},\psi}(G, \lambda, q)$ with state space Ω, which we call the $(\mathcal{S}, \psi)$-*block dynamics*. We ensure that the new chain also has the Gibbs distribution as its stationary distribution. First we need some more notation.

Given $S \in \mathcal{S}$, for $c \in [q]^S$ and $X \in \Omega$ we let $X^{(S,c)} \in \Omega$ be the colouring defined by

$$X^{(S,c)}(u) = \begin{cases} X(u) & \text{if } u \notin S, \\ c(u) & \text{if } u \in S. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mu_{X,S}(c)$ denote the number of monochromatic edges in $X^{(S,c)}$ which are incident with at least one vertex of S. Finally, define the distribution $\phi_{X,S}$ on $[q]^S$ by

$$\phi_{X,S}(c) \propto \lambda^{\mu_{X,S}(c)}, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \phi_{X,S}(c) = \frac{\lambda^{\mu_{X,S}(c)}}{Z_{X,S}}$$

where

$$Z_{X,S} = \sum_{c \in [q]^S} \lambda^{\mu_{X,S}(c)}.$$

The transition procedure of the $(\mathcal{S}, \psi)$-block dynamics can now be described. From current state $X_t \in \Omega$, obtain the new state $X_{t+1} \in \Omega$ as follows:

- choose $S \in \mathcal{S}$ according to the distribution ψ;
- choose a colouring $c \in [q]^S$ for S from the distribution $\phi_{X_t,S}$;
- let $X_{t+1} = X_t{}^{(S,c)}$.

The stationary distribution of this chain is the Gibbs distribution on Ω.

Theorem 2.3 below gives a sufficient condition on the number of colours for the $(\mathcal{S}, \psi)$-block dynamics to be rapidly mixing. The result is stated in terms of three parameters which we now define.

For $S \subseteq V$, write ∂S for the set of vertices in $V \setminus S$ that have a neighbour in S. Write $s := \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} |S|$ for the size of the largest block in $\mathcal{S}$. Let $S \in \mathcal{S}$ be a random block chosen according to the distribution ψ. Given $v \in V$, define

$$\psi(v) = \mathbb{P}(v \in S), \quad \psi_\partial(v) = \mathbb{P}(v \in \partial S).$$

Our first parameter ∂^+ is

$$\partial^+ = \partial^+(\mathcal{S}) = \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} |\partial S|^{\min\{|S|,\, |\partial S|\}}. \tag{1}$$

Let $\psi_{\min} := \min_{v \in V} \psi(v)$ and define our second parameter Ψ by

$$\Psi = \Psi(\mathcal{S}, \psi) = \max_{v \in V} \frac{\psi_\partial(v)}{\psi(v)}. \tag{2}$$

Given $A \subseteq V$ and $X \in \Omega$, write $X|_A$ for the colouring X restricted to A. Consider a colouring $c \in [q]^S$. A colour used by c is called *free* with respect to X, S if it appears in $X|_S$ but not in $X|_{\partial S}$. Write $f(X, S, c)$ for the number of free colours in c with respect to X, S. Our third parameter is defined by

$$\mu^+ = \mu^+(\mathcal{S}) = \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \max_{X \in \Omega} \max_{f = 0, \ldots, |S|-1} \max \left\{ \frac{\mu_{X,S}(c)}{|S| - f} : c \in [q]^S,\ f(X, S, c) = f \right\}. \tag{3}$$

The parameter μ^+ is a scaled maximum value of $\mu_{X,S}(c)$, ruling out colourings in which every element of S receives a distinct free colour (such colourings will be treated separately in the proof below). Although the definition of μ^+ gives a dependency on q, in our applications on bounded-degree graphs we can bound μ^+ independently of q (see Proposition 2.4). Hence we suppress this dependence in our notation.

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition on the number of colours for $(\mathcal{S}, \psi)$-block dynamics to be rapidly mixing. We restrict our attention to connected graphs, and to avoid trivialities we do not allow the vertex set V to be a block.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a block system for G such that $V \notin \mathcal{S}$. Let ψ be a distribution on $\mathcal{S}$ and fix $\lambda > 1$. If*

$$q \geq (2s)^{s+1}\, \partial^+\, \Psi\, \lambda^{\mu^+}$$

(where parameters s, ∂^+, Ψ and μ^+ are as defined above) then the $(\mathcal{S}, \psi)$-block dynamics $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}}^{\mathcal{S},\psi}(G, \lambda, q)$ satisfies

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}}, \varepsilon) \leq 2\psi_{\min}^{-1} \log(n\varepsilon^{-1}).$$

We remark that for the bound $q \geq (2s)^{s+1}\, \partial^+\, \Psi\, \lambda^{\mu^+}$ in Theorem 2.3, we expect the constant multiplicative factor $(2s)^{s+1}\partial^+\Psi$ can be improved; however we have not attempted to do this in order to keep our treatment simple.

Proof. We define a coupling $(A, B) \mapsto (A', B')$ for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}}$ on Λ as follows. Given $(A, B) \in \Lambda$, let $u = u(A, B)$ be the (unique) vertex which is coloured differently by A and B. We choose $S \in \mathcal{S}$ at random using the distribution ψ and obtain A' (respectively, B') by updating the colouring of S in A (respectively, B) according to the distribution $\phi_A = \phi_{A,S}$ (respectively, $\phi_B = \phi_{B,S}$); this will give a coupling since A and B are updated using the transition procedure of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}}$. We choose the joint distribution on (ϕ_A, ϕ_B) so as to maximise the probability that $A'|_S = B'|_S$. Call this maximised probability $p(S, A, B)$. Observe that $p(S, A, B) = 1$ if $u \notin \partial S$ (because A and B assign the same colours to ∂S, so ϕ_A and ϕ_B are the same distribution). For the case that $u \in \partial S$, we uniformly bound $p(S, A, B)$ by setting

$$p := \min_{(A,B)\in\Lambda}\ \min_{S\in\mathcal{S}: u\in\partial S} p(S, A, B).$$

(Let $p = 1$ if $u \notin \partial S$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$.) Now for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$ with $u \in \partial S$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(S, A, B) = \sum_{c\in[q]^S} \min(\phi_A(c), \phi_B(c)) &\geq \sum_{c\in[q]^S} \frac{1}{\max(Z_{A,S}, Z_{B,S})} \\ &= \frac{q^{|S|}}{\max(Z_{A,S}, Z_{B,S})}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We claim that

$$\frac{q^{|S|}}{Z_{X,S}} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2s\Psi} \tag{5}$$

for all $X \in \Omega$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$. If (5) holds then substituting into (4) gives

$$p \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2s\Psi},$$

which in turn implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(d(A',B')-1\middle|(A,B)\right) \leq\; & -\psi(u)+|S|\,\psi_\partial(u)\,(1-p)\\
&= -\psi(u)\left(1-\frac{|S|\psi_\partial(u)}{\psi(u)}(1-p)\right)\\
&\leq -\psi_{\min}\left(1-s\Psi\,(1-p)\right)\\
&\leq -\frac{\psi_{\min}}{2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The theorem follows from this, by Lemma 2.1. So it remains to establish (5).

Fix $X\in\Omega$ and $S\in\mathcal{S}$. For any colouring c, write $Q(c)$ for the set of colours used by c. Given a colouring $c\in[q]^S$, the colour classes of c define a partition P of S into (unordered) nonempty parts. (Here, we think of a partition P of S as a set of nonempty parts $\{P_1,\ldots,P_t\}$ where $P_i\subseteq S$ are disjoint and $\cup_{A\in P}A=S$.) Let $F\subseteq P$ be the set of colour classes corresponding to colours which are free with respect to X,S (in the given colouring c).

Conversely, we can start from a partition P of S and a subset F of P. Given a set of $|P|$ colours, we can form a colouring of S by assigning a distinct colour to each part of P such that the colour assigned to $A\in P$ belongs to $[q]\setminus Q(X|_{\partial S})$ if and only if $A\in F$. Any colouring which can be formed in this way is called a (P,F)-*colouring* of S. (Such a colouring is uniquely determined by (P,F) and the map $P\to[q]$ which performs the assignment of colours.)

Let $n(S,P,F)$ be the number of (P,F)-colourings of S. By definition of μ^+ we have

$$
Z_{X,S}=\sum_{c\in[q]^S}\lambda^{\mu_{X,S}(c)}\leq q^{|S|}+\sum_{(P,F):|F|\neq|S|}n(S,P,F)\,\lambda^{\mu^+(|S|-|F|)}.
$$

The first term corresponds to $P=F$ with $|P|=|S|$, arising from a colouring $c\in[q]^S$ in which every vertex in S receives a distinct free colour. We use $q^{|S|}$ as an upper bound for the number of such colourings. For all other values of (S,P,F) we have the following crude bound:

$$
n(S,P,F)\leq q_1^{\min\{q_1,|P|-|F|\}}\,(q-q_1)^{|F|}\leq|\partial S|^{\min\{|S|,|\partial S|\}}\,q^{|F|}\leq\partial^+\,q^{|F|},
$$

where $q_1=|Q(X|_{\partial S})|$ and we recall that all parts must be coloured differently. Substituting gives

$$
Z_{X,S}\leq q^{|S|}+\sum_{(P,F):\,|F|\neq|S|}\partial^+\,q^{|F|}\,\lambda^{\mu^+(|S|-|F|)}.
$$

Now applying the bound on q from the theorem statement gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{Z_{X,S}}{q^{|S|}} &\leq 1+\sum_{(P,F):|F|\neq|S|}\partial^+\,q^{|F|-|S|}\lambda^{\mu^+(|S|-|F|)}\\
&\leq 1+\sum_{(P,F):|F|\neq|S|}\partial^+\left((2s)^{s+1}\,\partial^+\,\Psi\,\lambda^{\mu^+}\right)^{|F|-|S|}\lambda^{\mu^+(|S|-|F|)}\\
&\leq 1+\sum_{(P,F):\,|F|\neq|S|}\left((2s)^{s+1}\,\Psi\right)^{|F|-|S|}. \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of terms in the above sum is at most $(2|S|)^{|S|}$, since there are at most $|S|^{|S|}$ choices of the partition P and at most $2^{|P|} \leq 2^{|S|}$ choices of F.

Next, note that

$$\Psi = \max_{v \in V} \frac{\psi_\partial(v)}{\psi(v)} \geq \mathbb{E}_\rho \left(\frac{\psi_\partial(v)}{\psi(v)} \right) = \sum_{v \in V} \rho(v) \, \frac{\psi_\partial(v)}{\psi(v)}$$

for any probability distribution ρ on V. In particular, we can take $\rho(v) = \psi(v)/N$, where

$$N = \sum_{v \in V} \psi(v) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(S)\, |S| \leq s.$$

With this choice of ρ, we obtain the bound

$$\Psi \geq N^{-1} \sum_{v \in V} \psi_\partial(v) = N^{-1} \sum_{S \in S} \psi(S)\, |\partial S| \geq s^{-1}$$

since ∂S is nonempty for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$, as G is connected and $V \notin \mathcal{S}$. It follows that $(2s)^{s+1}\, \Psi > 1$, and combining this with (6) gives

$$\frac{Z_{X,S}}{q^{|S|}} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2s\Psi}.$$

Inverting this and using the identity $(1+y)^{-1} \geq 1 - y$ establishes (5), completing the proof. $\square$

2.4 Block dynamics for specific examples

In this subsection we illustrate how one can use Theorem 2.3 to obtain rapid mixing results for block dynamics on graphs of bounded degree. In the next subsection, we shall see how these results for block dynamics can be translated into rapid mixing results for Glauber dynamics.

In order to build some intuition, we begin by investigating the range of possible values of the parameter μ^+. We will need the following notation: given $T \subseteq T' \subset V$, we write $\mathrm{vol}(T, T')$ for the set of edges of G that are contained in T' and have at least one endvertex in T.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph of maximum degree Δ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be any block system for G. Then*

$$\mu^+ = \mu^+(\mathcal{S}) \leq \Delta.$$

If in addition G is regular then

$$\frac{\Delta}{2} \leq \mu^+(\mathcal{S}) \leq \Delta.$$

Proof. First fix $X \in \Omega$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Given a colouring $c \in [q]^S$, let P be the partition of S defined by the nonempty colour classes of c. Define $F \subseteq P$ to be the set of colour classes of c which correspond to a colour which does not appear on $X|_{\partial S}$. Let

$$A_F = \bigcup_{A \in F} A$$

and

$$A'_F = \bigcup_{A \in F \,:\, |A| \geq 2} A.$$

Since G has maximum degree Δ, a trivial upper bound on $\mu_{X,S}(c)$ is $\Delta|S|$. But note that if a monochromatic edge e is incident to a vertex in A_F, then e must have both endpoints in the same part A of F. Thus edges incident to vertices in $A_F \setminus A'_F$ do not contribute to $\mu_{X,S}(c)$ and monochromatic edges incident to vertices in A'_F are double counted in the trivial bound. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{X,S}(c) &\leq \Delta(|S| - |A_F|) + \frac{\Delta}{2}\,|A'_F| \\
&= \Delta|S| - \Delta\left(|A_F| - \frac{|A'_F|}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \Delta(|S| - |F|).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the upper bound holds, by definition of μ^+.

Next, suppose that G is Δ-regular with $X \in \Omega$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Consider any colouring $c \in [q]^S$ which assigns a single colour to all of S, and where this is the only colour used in $X|_{\partial S}$. Then

$$\mu^+ \geq \frac{\mu_{X,S}(c)}{|S| - |F|} = \frac{|\mathrm{vol}(S, S \cup \partial S)|}{|S|} \geq \frac{\Delta}{2},$$

where the last inequality follows because G is regular of degree Δ.

□

Next we show how to improve the upper bound on μ^+ given in Proposition 2.4 by choosing our block system more carefully.

Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with n vertices and with maximum degree Δ. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{S_v : v \in V\}$$

where for all $v \in V$ the set $S_v \subseteq V$ satisfies $v \in S_v$, $|S_v| = k$ and $G[S_v]$ is connected. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is called a *k-block system* for G. Let ψ be the uniform distribution over $\mathcal{S}$. To apply Theorem 2.3 to the $(\mathcal{S}, \psi)$-block dynamics we will calculate upper bounds on the parameters ∂^+, Ψ and μ^+.

Clearly $|\partial S| \leq \Delta k$ and $\min\{k, |\partial S|\} \leq k$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence

$$\partial^+ \leq (\Delta k)^k. \tag{7}$$

To compute Ψ, observe first that $\psi(v) \geq 1/n$ for all $v \in V$ as there are n blocks and each vertex belongs to at least one block. Next, observe that $\psi_\partial(v) \leq \frac{\Delta^k}{n}$. Indeed, if $v \in \partial S_u$ for some $u \in V$ then u is at distance at most k from v. Since there are at most Δ^k vertices (excluding v) at distance at most k from v in G, there are at most Δ^k out of n blocks containing u in their boundary. Therefore

$$\Psi = \max_{v \in V} \frac{\psi_\partial(v)}{\psi(v)} \leq \Delta^k. \tag{8}$$

In order to calculate an upper bound on μ^+ we first prove a preliminary result. For $T \subseteq T' \subset V$, recall the notation $\mathrm{vol}(T, T')$ introduced above Proposition 2.4, and note that $\mathrm{vol}(T, T)$ is just the set of edges inside T. If $T \subset T'$ then we write $E(T, T')$ for the set of edges that are contained in T' and have exactly one endvertex in T.

For any two sets A, B, we write $\delta_{A,B}$ for the indicator function that $A = B$, that is $\delta_{A,B} = 1$ if $A = B$ and $\delta_{A,B} = 0$ otherwise.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $H = (V, E)$ be a connected graph and let $U \subseteq V$. Then*

$$|\mathrm{vol}(U, V)| \geq |U| - \delta_{U,V}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the statement for H a tree. The statement is clear if $U = V$. Now suppose that $U \neq V$ and consider the components $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ of $H[U]$. Then $\mathrm{vol}(C_i, V)$ has at least $|C_i|$ edges and is disjoint from $\mathrm{vol}(C_j, V)$ for all $j \neq i$. Thus

$$|\mathrm{vol}(U, V)| = \sum_{i=1}^{r} |\mathrm{vol}(C_i, V)| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} |C_i| = |U|.$$

□

Next we give an upper bound on the parameter μ^+ for k-block systems. For $k \geq 2$ this bound is a slight improvement on the upper bound given in Proposition 2.4.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph with n vertices and maximum degree Δ. Fix an integer $k \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be any k-block system for G. Then*

$$\mu^+ = \mu^+(\mathcal{S}) \leq \Delta - 1 + \tfrac{1}{k}.$$

Proof. Fix $X \in \Omega = [q]^V$ and $v \in V$. Given a colouring $c \in [q]^{S_v}$, let P be the partition of S_v defined by the nonempty colour classes of c. Define $F \subseteq P$ to be the set of colour classes of c which correspond to a colour which does not appear on $X|_{\partial S_v}$.

Let

$$A_F = \bigcup_{A \in F} A, \qquad A_{\overline{F}} = \bigcup_{A \notin F} A$$

and define $a_F = |A_F|$ and $a_{\overline{F}} = |A_{\overline{F}}|$. Writing $\mu_{X,v} = \mu_{X,S_v}$ for ease of notation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{X,v}(c) &\leq \left(\sum_{A \in F} |\mathrm{vol}(A, A)| \right) + \left(\sum_{A \notin F} |\mathrm{vol}(A,\ A \cup \partial S_v)| \right) \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{A \in F} |\mathrm{vol}(A, A)| \right) + |\mathrm{vol}(A_{\overline{F}}, A_{\overline{F}} \cup \partial S_v)|. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$|\mathrm{vol}(A_{\overline{F}}, A_{\overline{F}} \cup \partial S_v)| \leq \Delta a_{\overline{F}} - |\mathrm{vol}(A_{\overline{F}}, S_v)| \leq (\Delta - 1)a_{\overline{F}} + \delta_{F,\emptyset}, \tag{10}$$

where the last inequality follows by Proposition 2.5 and noting that $\delta_{A_{\overline{F}},S_v} = \delta_{F,\emptyset}$.

Next we claim that for $A \in P$ we have

$$|\mathrm{vol}(A, A)| \leq (|A| - 1)(\Delta - 1). \tag{11}$$

To ease notation, write $a = |A|$. If $a = 1, 2$ then (11) clearly holds (noting that $\Delta \geq 2$ since G is connected). Next, (11) holds for $\Delta = 2$ since we have $|\mathrm{vol}(A, A)| \leq a-1$, where the "$-1$" appears because there is at least one edge leaving A (since G is connected). If $a = 3$ and $\Delta \geq 3$ then $|\mathrm{vol}(A, A)| \leq 3$ and $(a - 1)(\Delta - 1) \geq 4$, so (11) holds. For $a \geq 4$ and $\Delta \geq 3$, we note that $|\mathrm{vol}(A, A)| \leq \Delta a/2$ and check that $\Delta a/2 \leq (a - 1)(\Delta - 1)$ holds in this case. This proves the claim, establishing (11).

Therefore

$$\sum_{A\in F} |\mathrm{vol}(A, A)| \leq \sum_{A\in F} (|A| - 1)(\Delta - 1) = (a_F - |F|)(\Delta - 1). \tag{12}$$

Combining (9), (10) , and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{X,v}(c) &\leq (a_F - |F|)(\Delta - 1) + (\Delta - 1)a_{\overline{F}} + \delta_{F,\emptyset} \\ &= (\Delta - 1)(k - |F|) + \delta_{F,\emptyset}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $|F| \neq k$, dividing by $k - |F|$ gives the ratio $\Delta - 1$ if $F \neq \emptyset$ and gives $\Delta - 1 + k^{-1}$ if $F = \emptyset$. This completes the proof. □

Substituting (7), (8) and the result of Lemma 2.6 into Theorem 2.3 gives the following, noting that $\psi_{\min} \geq \frac{1}{n}$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph with n vertices and maximum degree Δ. Fix an integer $k \in \{2, \dots, n - 1\}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a k-block system for G. Let ψ be the uniform distribution on $\mathcal{S}$. Fix $\lambda > 1$. If*

$$q \geq 2^{k+1}\, \Delta^{2k}\, k^{2k+1}\, \lambda^{\Delta-1+k^{-1}}$$

then $\tau(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}}, \varepsilon) \leq 2n \log(n\varepsilon^{-1})$.

To further illustrate the use of Theorem 2.3 we apply it to the grid. Although our results are not as sharp as those discussed in [27], using the structure of the grid we are able to prove an upper bound on μ^+ which is close to the lower bound given in Proposition 2.4. (See Lemma 2.9 below.)

For convenience, rather than considering the $n \times n$ grid, we consider the toroidal n-grid $G = (V, E)$, where $V = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$, and $(a, b)(c, d) \in E$ if and only if, in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\text{either} \quad (a - c = \pm 1 \text{ and } b - d = 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (b - d = \pm 1 \text{ and } a - c = 0).$$

Note that the toroidal n-grid has n^2 vertices. The arguments below can be adapted to higher dimensions and to graphs with different grid topologies provided that the graph is locally a grid.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of all $r \times r$ subgrids of G, where $r \leq n-2$. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is a r^2-block system: given $S \in \mathcal{S}$, write $S = S_v$ where v is the vertex at the "top left" corner of S. Let ψ be the uniform distribution on $\mathcal{S}$. To apply Theorem 2.3 we must calculate upper bounds on the parameters.

Firstly, note that

$$\partial^+ = (4r)^{4r} \tag{13}$$

since $|\partial S| = 4r$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Next, for $v \in V$ we have $\psi(v) = r^2/n^2$ and $\psi_\partial(v) = 4r/n^2$, and so

$$\Psi = \frac{4}{r}. \tag{14}$$

In order to obtain a tighter bound on μ^+ we need more information about expansion properties of the grid.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an $n \times n$ grid and let $S \subseteq V$ be the vertices of an $r \times r$ subgrid. If $T \subseteq S$ and $|T| = t'$ then $|\mathrm{vol}(T,T)| \leq 2t' - 2\sqrt{t'}$ and $|\mathrm{vol}(T, S \cup \partial S)| \geq 2t' + 2\sqrt{t'}$.*

Proof. For $T \subseteq S$, we define $\overline{T} = (S \cup \partial S) \setminus T$. First, we claim that

$$\text{if} \quad |E(T, \overline{T})| \leq 4t \quad \text{then} \quad |T| \leq t^2. \tag{15}$$

To prove the claim, let us choose T such that $|T|$ is maximised subject to $|E(T,\overline{T})| \leq 4t$. We may assume that $G[T]$ is connected or else we can translate components to connect $G[T]$ without increasing $|E(T,\overline{T})|$. Furthermore, we may assume that T is convex (that is, T is a rectangular subgrid) because if T has any "missing corners" (that is, a vertex outside T with at least two neighbours in T) then we can add the missing vertex without increasing $|E(T,\overline{T})|$. It is also easy to verify that amongst the rectangles with $|E(T,\overline{T})| = 4t$, the square (with t^2 vertices) has the largest area. This completes the proof of the claim.

Now suppose that $|T| = t'$. Using the contrapositive of (15), we have

$$2\,|\mathrm{vol}(T,T)| = 4|T| - |E(T,\overline{T})| \leq 4t' - 4\sqrt{t'},$$

and dividing by two establishes the first statement. The second statement follows since

$$|\mathrm{vol}(T, S \cup \partial S)| = 4|T| - |\mathrm{vol}(T,T)|.$$

□

For the toroidal grid, we may now give an upper bound for the parameter μ^+ which is close to the lower bound proved in Proposition 2.4.

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be the toroidal grid with n^2 vertices, and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the r^2-block system consisting of all $r \times r$ subgrids of G. Then*

$$\mu^+ \le 2 + \tfrac{2}{r}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $X \in \Omega$ and $v \in V$. For a given $c \in [q]^{S_v}$, let P be the corresponding partition of S_v given by the colour classes of c. As usual, let $F \subseteq P$ be the set of colour classes corresponding to colours which do not appear on $X|_{\partial S_v}$.

Recall the notation A_F, $A_{\overline{F}}$, a_F and $a_{\overline{F}}$ introduced in Lemma 2.6. As in (9) we write $\mu_{X,v}$ for μ_{X,S_v}, and find that

$$\mu_{X,v}(c) \le \left(\sum_{A \in F} |\mathrm{vol}(A, A)| \right) + |\mathrm{vol}(A_{\overline{F}}, A_{\overline{F}} \cup \partial S_v)|.$$

Using Lemma 15, we have

$$\sum_{A \in F} |\mathrm{vol}(A, A)| \;\le\; \sum_{A \in F} 2(|A| - \sqrt{|A|}) \;=\; 2a_F - \sum_{A \in F} 2\sqrt{|A|} \;\le\; 2a_F - 2|F|.$$

In order to bound $|\mathrm{vol}(A_{\overline{F}}, A_{\overline{F}} \cup \partial S_v)|$, observe first that $\mathrm{vol}(S_v, S_v \cup \partial S_v)$ is the disjoint union of $\mathrm{vol}(A_{\overline{F}}, A_{\overline{F}} \cup \partial S_v)$ and $\mathrm{vol}(A_F, S_v \cup \partial S_v)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{vol}(A_{\overline{F}}, A_{\overline{F}} \cup \partial S_v)| &= |\mathrm{vol}(S_v, S_v \cup \partial S_v)| - |\mathrm{vol}(A_F, S_v \cup \partial S_v)| \\ &= 2r^2 + 2r - |\mathrm{vol}(A_F, S_v \cup \partial S_v)| \\ &\le 2r^2 + 2r - 2a_F - 2\sqrt{a_F} && \text{by Lemma 2.8} \\ &\le 2r^2 + 2r - 2a_F - 2\sqrt{|F|}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the three inequalities above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{X,v}(c) \le 2(r^2 - |F|) + 2(r - \sqrt{|F|}) &= (r^2 - |F|) \left(2 + \frac{2}{r + \sqrt{|F|}} \right) \\ &\le (r^2 - |F|) \left(2 + \tfrac{2}{r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For all F with $|F| \neq r^2$, dividing by $r^2 - |F|$ gives the value $2 + \frac{2}{r}$, completing the proof. ☐

Substituting (13), (14) and the result of Lemma 2.9 into Theorem 2.3 gives the following, noting that $\psi_{\min} = r^2/n^2$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be the toroidal n-grid, let $\mathcal{S}$ be the r^2-block system consisting of the set of $r \times r$ subgrids of G, for some $r \le n - 2$. Given $\lambda > 1$, if*

$$q \ge 2^{r^2+8r+3}\, r^{2r^2+4r+1}\, \lambda^{2+\frac{2}{r}}$$

then for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}} = \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathrm{BD}}(G, \lambda, q)$, we have $\tau(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}}, \varepsilon) \le 2n^2 \log(n^2 \varepsilon^{-1})/r^2$.

2.5 Glauber dynamics via Markov chain comparison

We now describe the machinery needed to compare the mixing times of the Glauber dynamics and the block dynamics considered in the previous two subsections. We closely follow [7].

Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a reversible, ergodic Markov chain on state space Ω with transition matrix P and stationary distribution π. Let $\mathcal{M}'$ be another reversible, ergodic Markov chain on Ω with transition matrix P' and the same stationary distribution.

We say a transition (x, y) of $\mathcal{M}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{M}'$) is positive if $P(x, y) > 0$ (respectively, $P'(x, y) > 0$); here we allow the possibility that $x = y$. For every positive transition (x, y) of $\mathcal{M}'$, let $\mathcal{P}_{x,y}$ be the set of paths $\gamma = (x = x_0, \ldots, x_k = y)$ such that all the x_i are distinct and each (x_i, x_{i+1}) is a positive transition of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \cup\mathcal{P}_{x,y}$, where the union is taken over all positive transitions (x, y) of $\mathcal{M}'$ with $x \neq y$.

We write $|\gamma|$ to denote the length of the path γ so that, for example, $|\gamma| = k$ for $\gamma = (x_0, \ldots, x_k)$.

An $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$-flow is a function f from $\mathcal{P}$ to the interval $[0, 1]$ such that for every positive transition (x, y) of $\mathcal{M}'$ with $x \neq y$, we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{x,y}} f(\gamma) = \pi(x)P'(x, y).$$

For a positive transition (z, w) of $\mathcal{M}$, the congestion of (z, w) is defined to be

$$A_{z,w}(f) = \frac{1}{\pi(z)P(z, w)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}\colon (z,w) \in \gamma} |\gamma| f(\gamma).$$

The congestion of the flow is defined to be $A(f) = \max A_{z,w}(f)$, where the maximum is taken over all positive transitions (z, w) of $\mathcal{M}$ with $z \neq w$. We now state a result that relates the mixing times of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ in terms of $A(f)$.

We will use the following theorem, which is slightly less general than [7, Theorem 10].

Theorem 2.11. [7, Theorem 10] *Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a reversible ergodic Markov chain with transition matrix P and stationary distribution π and that $\mathcal{M}'$ is another reversible ergodic Markov chain with the same stationary distribution. Suppose that f is an $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$-flow. If $\mathcal{M}$ has no negative eigenvalues then for any $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, we have*

$$\tau_x(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon) \le A(f) \left[\frac{\tau(\mathcal{M}', \delta)}{\log(1/2\delta)} + 1 \right] \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon \pi(x)}.$$

Now we apply the above theorem to compare the mixing time of the Glauber dynamics and the block dynamics. Write $\tau(\mathcal{M}') = \tau(\mathcal{M}', \frac{1}{2e})$.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n-vertex graph of maximum degree Δ. Given $\lambda > 1$, a positive integer q, a block system $\mathcal{S}$ for G with maximum block size s, and ψ a probability distribution on $\mathcal{S}$, write $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}(G, \lambda, q)$ and $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{BD}}^{\mathcal{S},\psi}(G, \lambda, q)$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon) \le 2s\, q^{s+1}\, \lambda^{\Delta(s+1)}\, \tau(\mathcal{M}')\, n\, \left(n \log\left(q\lambda^{\Delta/2}\right) + \log(\varepsilon^{-1}) \right).$$

Proof. As before, let P and P' be the transition matrices of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ respectively. We note at the outset that both $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ have the Gibbs distribution π as their stationary distribution. It is proved in [14, Section 1.1] that the Glauber dynamics $\mathcal{M}$ has no negative eigenvalues, so we may apply Theorem 2.11.

We construct an $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$-flow and analyse its congestion. Recall that a transition in $\mathcal{M}'$ is obtained by starting at some $X \in \Omega = [q]^V$, selecting $S \in \mathcal{S}$ at random using the distribution ψ and then updating the colouring of S to some colouring $c \in [q]^S$ chosen randomly using the distribution $\phi = \phi_{X,S}$. The resulting colouring is denoted by $X^{(S,c)}$. Let $h(X, S, c) := \psi(S)\phi_{X,S}(c)$ be the probability that this pair (S, c) is chosen. In particular, if (X, Y) is a transition of $\mathcal{M}'$ then

$$P'(X,Y) = \sum_{(S,c)\colon Y=c\cup X^{(S,c)}} h(X,S,c),$$

Fix an ordering of the vertices of G. For each $X \in \Omega$, $S \in \mathcal{S}$, and a colouring $c \in [q]^S$ of S, we define the path $\gamma(X, S, c)$ from X to $X^{(S,c)}$ as follows: starting from X, consider each vertex $v \in \{u \in S : X(u) \neq c(u)\}$, one at a time and in increasing vertex order, and change the colour of v from $X(v)$ to $c(v)$. Thus $\gamma(X, S, c)$ is a path in Ω from X to $X^{(S,c)}$ using positive transitions of $\mathcal{M}$.

We define an $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$-flow f by setting $f(\gamma(X, S, c)) = \pi(X)h(X, S, c)$ for all (X, S, c) and $f(\gamma) = 0$ for all other paths γ. To verify that this is indeed an $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$-flow, given a positive transition (X, Y) of $\mathcal{M}'$ with $X \neq Y$, we have

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{P}_{X,Y}} f(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma=\gamma(X,S,c)\colon Y=X^{(S,c)}} f(\gamma) = \sum_{(S,c)\colon Y=X^{(S,c)}} \pi(X)\, h(X,S,c) = \pi(X)\, P'(X,Y).$$

Next we bound the congestion of this flow. Let (Z, W) be a positive transition of $\mathcal{M}$ with $Z \neq W$. Then the colourings Z and W differ on only one vertex, say v. The path $\gamma(X, S, c)$ uses the transition (Z, W) only if $v \in S$ and the colourings X and Z differ on a subset of S. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{Z,W}(f) &= \frac{1}{\pi(Z)P(Z,W)} \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{P}\colon (Z,W)\in\gamma} |\gamma|\, f(\gamma) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi(Z)P(Z,W)} \sum_{S\colon v\in S}\ \sum_{X\colon X|_{\overline{S}}=Z|_{\overline{S}}}\ \sum_{c\in[q]^S} |S|\cdot f(\gamma(X,S,c)) \\
&\leq \frac{s}{\pi(Z)P(Z,W)} \sum_{S\colon v\in S}\ \sum_{X\colon X|_{\overline{S}}=Z|_{\overline{S}}}\ \sum_{c\in[q]^S} \pi(X)\, h(X,S,c) \\
&\leq \frac{s}{P(Z,W)} \sum_{S\colon v\in S}\ \sum_{X:X|_{\overline{S}}=Z|_{\overline{S}}} \frac{\pi(X)}{\pi(Z)}\, \psi(S).
\end{aligned}$$

If X and Z differ on at most s vertices, and hence on at most Δs edges, then

$$\frac{\pi(X)}{\pi(Z)} \leq \lambda^{\Delta s}.$$

Also, for any positive transition (Z, W) of $\mathcal{M}$ we have

$$P(Z,W)^{-1} \leq q\lambda^{\Delta}\, n.$$

Substituting these upper bounds gives

$$A_{Z,W}(f) \leq\ sq\lambda^{\Delta}n \sum_{S\colon v\in S} \psi(S) \sum_{X\colon X|_{\overline{S}}=Z|_{\overline{S}}} \lambda^{\Delta s}\ \leq\ sq\lambda^{\Delta}q^{s}\lambda^{\Delta s}\psi(v)n\ \leq\ sq^{s+1}\lambda^{\Delta(s+1)}n,$$

since $\psi(v) \leq 1$. We conclude that $A(f) \leq sq^{s+1}\lambda^{\Delta(s+1)}n$.

Now apply Theorem 2.11 with $\delta = 1/(2e)$. For all $Z \in \Omega$, we have the crude bound

$$\pi(Z) \geq (q^{n}\, \lambda^{m})^{-1} \geq (q^{n}\, \lambda^{\Delta n/2})^{-1},$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mathcal{M},\varepsilon) &\leq sq^{s+1}\lambda^{\Delta(s+1)}n\, [\tau(\mathcal{M}')+1] \log\left(q^{n}\lambda^{\Delta n/2}\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \\ &\leq 2s\, q^{s+1}\lambda^{\Delta(s+1)}\, \tau(\mathcal{M}')\, n\, \left(n\log(q\lambda^{\Delta/2}) + \log(\varepsilon^{-1})\right), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

We would expect that the mixing time for Glauber dynamics should decrease as q increases, but the bound given in Lemma 2.12 becomes worse for larger values of q. However, by combining Lemma 2.12 with Proposition 2.2, we can avoid this problem.

Corollary 2.13. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n-vertex graph of maximum degree Δ. Given $\lambda > 1$, a positive integer q, a block system $\mathcal{S}$ for G with maximum block size s, and ψ a probability distribution on $\mathcal{S}$, write $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}(G, \lambda, q)$ and $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{S},\psi}_{\mathrm{BD}}(G, \lambda, q)$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\tau(\mathcal{M},\varepsilon) \leq \begin{cases} 2s(\Delta\lambda^{2\Delta})^{s+1}\, \tau(\mathcal{M}')\, n\, \left(n\log(\Delta\lambda^{3\Delta/2}) + \log(\varepsilon^{-1})\right) & \text{if } q < \Delta\lambda^{\Delta}+1, \\ (\Delta+1)n\log(n\varepsilon^{-1}) & \text{if } q \geq \Delta\lambda^{\Delta}+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $q < \Delta\lambda^{\Delta} + 1$ then the corollary holds by Lemma 2.12, while if $q \geq \Delta\lambda^{\Delta} + 1$ then the corollary holds by Proposition 2.2. □

We complete this section by applying the previous corollary to the block dynamics results obtained in the previous subsection to obtain rapid mixing results for Glauber dynamics.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n-vertex connected graph with maximum degree Δ, and fix $\lambda > 1$. For every positive integer $k \leq n$, if $q \geq 2^{k+1}\Delta^{2k}k^{2k+1}\lambda^{\Delta-1+k^{-1}}$ then for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}(G, \lambda, q)$, we have*

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}},\varepsilon) \leq 4k\, (\Delta\, \lambda^{2\Delta})^{k+1}\, n^{2}\log(2en)\, \left(n\log\left(\Delta\lambda^{3\Delta/2}\right) + \log(\varepsilon^{-1})\right).$$

Proof. Apply Corollary 2.13 to the mixing time of the block dynamics in Theorem 2.7. ☐

Theorem 2.15. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be the toroidal n-grid, and fix $\lambda > 1$. For every positive integer $r \leq n$, if $q \geq 2^{r^2+8r+3}\, r^{2r^2+4r+1}\, \lambda^{2+\frac{2}{r}}$ then for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}(G, \lambda, q)$, we have*

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}, \varepsilon) \leq 4\,(4\lambda^8)^{r^2+1}\, n^4\, \log(2en^2)\, \left(n^2 \log(4\lambda^6) + \log(\varepsilon^{-1})\right).$$

Proof. We apply Corollary 2.13 to the mixing time of the block dynamics in Theorem 2.10. ☐

3 An extremal problem

In this section, we investigate how large the partition function of a bounded-degree graph can be. We require this result in the next section, where we give bounds on the number of colours below which Glauber dynamics mixes slowly, although the result may be of independent interest.

In this section, we allow graphs to have multiple edges, but not loops. For fixed numbers n the number of vertices, m the number of edges, Δ the maximum degree, $\lambda \geq 1$ the fugacity, and q the number of colours, we define

$$Z((n, m, \Delta), \lambda, q) = \max_G Z(G, \lambda, q),$$

where the maximum is over all graphs G with n vertices, m edges, and maximum degree Δ.

We now describe the class of graphs that will turn out to be extremal for the above parameter. Fix positive integers n, m, and Δ such that Δ divides m and $m \leq \Delta n/2$. Let $H(n, m, \Delta) = (V, E)$, where V is a set of n vertices and E is obtained by taking any set of m/Δ independent edges on V and replacing each edge with Δ multi-edges. Thus $H(n, m, \Delta)$ has m edges and maximum degree Δ.

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.1. *If G is an n-vertex graph with m edges and maximum degree Δ, and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ are given, then*

$$Z(G, \lambda, q) \leq \left(1 + q^{-1}(\lambda^\Delta - 1)\right)^{\lceil m/\Delta \rceil} q^n.$$

In particular, if Δ divides m, we have equality above for $G = H(n, m, \Delta)$.

This will immediately give us the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $n, m, \Delta \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Given a number of colours q, and fugacity $\lambda \geq 1$, we have*

$$Z((n, m, \Delta), \lambda, q) \leq \left(1 + q^{-1}(\lambda^\Delta - 1)\right)^{\lceil m/\Delta \rceil} q^n.$$

We begin by giving a brief outline of the proof. Given an n-vertex multigraph $G = (V, E)$, and a uniformly random (non-proper) q-colouring σ of V, let X be the number of monochromatic edges of G in σ. Observe that $Z(G, \lambda, q) = \mathbb{E}(\lambda^X)q^n$. We proceed by decomposing the edges of G into Δ forests with $\lceil m/\Delta \rceil$ or $\lfloor m/\Delta \rfloor$ edges each. Then we establish that the number of monochromatic edges in a forest with m' edges is distributed as $X \sim \mathrm{Bin}(m', q^{-1})$. This allows us to obtain a bound on $\mathbb{E}(\lambda^X)$ and hence prove Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a multigraph with n vertices, m edges, and maximum degree Δ. We can find Δ forests $F_1, \ldots, F_\Delta$ on the vertex set V such that each F_i has $\lceil m/\Delta \rceil$ or $\lfloor m/\Delta \rfloor$ edges and the edges of $F_1, \ldots, F_\Delta$ form a partition of E.*

Proof. We begin by disregarding the condition that the forests should have almost equal size. In this case, we can decompose E into Δ forests by iteratively removing a maximal acyclic set of edges F_i from $E_i = E \backslash (F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_{i-1})$. By removing F_i from $G_i = (V, E_i)$, we reduce the degree of every non-isolated vertex by at least one, and so, in particular, we reduce the maximum degree of G_i by at least one. Thus, after at most Δ steps there are no edges remaining in the graph, giving a decomposition of E into (the edge sets of) Δ forests, $F_1, \ldots, F_\Delta$.

We denote by $|F_i|$ the size of the forest F_i, that is, the number of edges in F_i. In order to make these forests of equal size, observe that if $|F_i| > |F_j| + 1$, then F_i has fewer components than F_j, so F_i has at least one edge that connects two components of F_j. Removing this edge from F_i and adding it to F_j keeps both F_i and F_j acyclic, but reduces the imbalance in their sizes. Iteratively applying this operation to any pair of forests of unequal size eventually results in all forests being of size $\lceil m/\Delta \rceil$ or $\lfloor m/\Delta \rfloor$. ☐

Lemma 3.4. *Let $F = (V, E)$ be a forest and let σ be a uniformly random q-colouring of V. Let X be the number of monochromatic edges of F. Then $X \sim \mathrm{Bin}(m, q^{-1})$, where m is the number of edges in F.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case when F is a tree. For if not, then we can consider the components of F independently, and use the fact that the sum of t independent binomial random variables of the form $\mathrm{Bin}(m_j, p)$ is a binomial random variable $\mathrm{Bin}(m_1 + \cdots + m_t, p)$.

Now assume that F is a tree, and root F at a vertex v_0. Let $v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1}$ be any ordering of the vertices in V such that for every i, the parent of v_i is a member of $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}\}$. We generate a uniformly random q-colouring of V by colouring each vertex with a uniformly random colour from $[q]$, independently, in the specified order. Each vertex has probability $1/q$ of being given the same colour as its parent, independently of all previous choices, and hence each edge has probability $1/q$ of being monochromatic, independently of all previous choices. Therefore the total number of monochromatic edges satisfies $X \sim \mathrm{Bin}(m, q^{-1})$. ☐

We will also need the following result, which follows from a generalization of Hölder's inequality.

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $(X_1, \dots, X_d)$ *be a random,* $\mathbb{R}^d$*-valued vector, and suppose there exists a random variable* X *such that* $X_i \sim X$ *for all* $i = 1, \dots, d$. *Then for all* $\lambda > 0$ *we have*

$$\mathbb{E}(\lambda^{X_1+\cdots+X_d}) \le \mathbb{E}(\lambda^{dX}).$$

Proof. Let $Z_j = \lambda^{X_j}$ and $p_j = d$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$. Then the result follows from the generalised Hölder's inequality, which states that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} |Z_j|\right) \le \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left(\mathbb{E}|Z_j|^{p_j}\right)^{1/p_j}$$

for any random variables $Z_1, \dots, Z_d$ and any $p_j \ge 1$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{d} 1/p_j = 1$. (See for example [9].) □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 3.3, we can decompose the edges of G into Δ forests $F_1, \dots, F_\Delta$, such that m_i, the number of edges in F_i, is either $\lceil m/\Delta \rceil$ or $\lfloor m/\Delta \rfloor$.

Let σ be a random q-colouring of V, and let X_i be the number of monochromatic edges of F_i in the colouring σ. We know by Lemma 3.4 that $X_i \sim \mathrm{Bin}(m_i, q^{-1})$. Then $\mu(\sigma)$, the number of monochromatic edges of G in σ, is given by $\mu(\sigma) = X_1 + \cdots + X_\Delta$ and

$$Z(G, \lambda, q) = q^n\, \mathbb{E}(\lambda^{\mu(\sigma)}) = q^n\, \mathbb{E}(\lambda^{X_1+\cdots+X_\Delta}).$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, \Delta$, choose $Y_i \sim \mathrm{Bin}(\lceil m/\Delta \rceil, q^{-1})$ such that $\mathbb{P}(Y_i \ge X_i) = 1$. Then using the above and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(G, \lambda, q) \le q^n\, \mathbb{E}(\lambda^{X_1+\cdots+X_\Delta}) \le q^n\, \mathbb{E}(\lambda^{Y_1+\cdots+Y_\Delta}) &\le q^n\, \mathbb{E}(\lambda^{\Delta Y_1}) \\ &= q^n\, (1 + q^{-1}(\lambda^\Delta - 1))^{\lceil m/\Delta \rceil}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds because $Y_1 \sim \mathrm{Bin}(\lceil m/\Delta \rceil, q^{-1})$, so

$$\mathbb{E}(\lambda^{\Delta Y_1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil m/\Delta \rceil} \binom{\lceil m/\Delta \rceil}{i} q^{-i}(1 - q^{-1})^{\lceil m/\Delta \rceil - i}\, \lambda^{\Delta i} = (1 + q^{-1}(\lambda^\Delta - 1))^{\lceil m/\Delta \rceil}.$$

Finally, it is easy to check that $Z(H(n, m, \Delta), \lambda, q) = q^n\, (1 + q^{-1}(\lambda^\Delta - 1))^{m/\Delta}$ when Δ divides m. □

4 Slow mixing

We have seen in Section 2.2 that for general graphs with maximum degree Δ, the Glauber dynamics mixes rapidly if $q \ge \Delta\lambda^\Delta + 1$. Some improvements on this were given in Section 2.5. In this section, we shall see that these general bounds cannot be improved by much (in terms of the exponent of λ). We give a bound on the number of colours below which Glauber dynamics almost surely mixes slowly for a uniformly random Δ-regular graph.

The technical tool used for most slow-mixing proofs is conductance. We now introduce the necessary definitions, following [7]. Again, $\mathcal{M}$ is a Markov chain with state space Ω, transition matrix P and stationary distribution π. For $A, B \subseteq \Omega$, define

$$Q_{\mathcal{M}}(A, B) = \sum_{x \in A,\, y \in B} \pi(x) P(x, y).$$

We define

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \frac{Q_{\mathcal{M}}(A, \overline{A})}{\pi(A)\pi(\overline{A})},$$

where $\overline{A} := \Omega \setminus A$. Finally, we define the *conductance* of $\mathcal{M}$ as

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} := \min_{A \subseteq \Omega} \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(A).$$

We drop the subscript when the Markov chain is clear from the context. Recall that $\tau(\mathcal{M}) = \tau(\mathcal{M}, \frac{1}{2e})$. Conductance gives a lower bound for the mixing time of a Markov chain via the following result.

Theorem 4.1. [7, Theorem 17] *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ergodic Markov chain with transition matrix P, stationary distribution π and conductance Φ. Then*

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}) \geq \frac{e-1}{2e\, \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}}.$$

Suppose now that $G = (V, E)$ is an n-vertex graph, $\lambda \geq 1$ is given, and q is a number of colours. By Theorem 4.1, in order to show that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}(G, \lambda, q)$ mixes slowly, it is sufficient to show that its conductance $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is exponentially small in n.

We will need some more definitions. For $i \in [q]$ and $\sigma \in \Omega$, define

$$\sigma_i = |\{v \in V : \sigma(v) = i\}|.$$

Next, define the r-shell and r-ball around a colour i as follows:

$$S_r(i) = \{\sigma : \sigma_i = n - r\}, \qquad B_r(i) = \{\sigma : \sigma_i \geq n - r\}.$$

We see that $B_r(i)$ is the set of colourings at distance at most r from the all-i colouring, and $S_r(i)$ is the set of colourings at distance exactly r from the all-i colouring. To simplify notation, we write $B_r = B_r(1)$ and $S_r = S_r(1)$ for the r-ball and r-shell around colour 1.

For an n-vertex graph $G = (V, E)$ and r is a positive integer satisfying $r \leq n/2$, we define

$$\alpha_r(G) = \frac{1}{r} \max_{\substack{S \subseteq V \\ |S| = r}} e_G(S),$$

where $e_G(S)$ is the number of edges of G inside S. This quantity is low when the edge-expansion of r-vertex subgraphs of G is high. We now establish a uniform bound on the conductance of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}(G, \lambda, q)$ which holds when $\alpha_r(G)$ and q are sufficiently small.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\lambda \geq 1$ and let $\Delta \geq 2$ be an integer. Fix $\kappa \in \left(1, \frac{\Delta}{2}\right]$ and let $\beta \in (0,1)$. Suppose that $n \geq \beta^{-1}(2+\Delta \log_2 \lambda)$ is an integer and let $r = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor$. Let G be a Δ-regular, n-vertex graph such that $\alpha_r(G) \leq \kappa$. Finally, suppose that $q \geq 2$ is an integer which satisfies*

$$q - 1 \leq \frac{\beta^2}{256\, e^2}\ \lambda^{\Delta-\kappa-\frac{\kappa^2}{\Delta-\kappa}}. \tag{16}$$

Then the conductance of the Markov chain $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}(G,\lambda,q)$ is bounded by

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi r}}\, 2^{-r}. \tag{17}$$

Proof. We bound $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}$ by estimating $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(B_r)$. Let P be the transition matrix for $\mathcal{M}$ and let π be the stationary distribution of $\mathcal{M}$ (that is, the Gibbs distribution). We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \Phi_{\mathcal{M}}(B_r) = \frac{\sum_{x\in B_r,\, y\in \overline{B_r}} \pi(x)P(x,y)}{\pi(B_r)\pi(\overline{B_r})} &= \frac{\sum_{x\in S_r,\, y\in \overline{B_r}} \pi(x)P(x,y)}{\pi(B_r)\pi(\overline{B_r})}\\ &\leq \frac{\pi(S_r)}{\pi(B_r)\pi(\overline{B_r})}\\ &\leq \frac{2\,\pi(S_r)}{\pi(B_r)},\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows because $\pi(\overline{B_r}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (assuming that $q \geq 2$).

Let $Z = Z(G,\lambda,q)$ be the partition function and write $m = \Delta n/2$ for the number of edges in G. Now $\pi(B_r) \geq Z^{-1}\lambda^m$ since the all-1 colouring belongs to B_r. Next we obtain a lower bound on $\pi(S_r)$.

Suppose that $A \subseteq V$ with $|A| = r$. Writing $E(A)$ for the set of edges of G inside A, we know that $|E(A)| \leq \alpha_r(G) r \leq \kappa r$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}|E(\overline{A})| = m - |E(A,\overline{A})| - |E(A)| &= m - (\Delta r - 2|E(A)|) - |E(A)|\\ &= m - \Delta r + |E(A)|\\ &\leq m - (\Delta-\kappa)r.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(S_r) = Z^{-1}\sum_{\sigma\in S_r} \lambda^{\mu(\sigma)} &= Z^{-1}\sum_{A\subseteq V : |A|=r} \lambda^{|E(\overline{A})|}\cdot Z(G[A],\lambda,q-1)\\ &\leq Z^{-1}\sum_{A\subseteq V : |A|=r} \lambda^{m-(\Delta-\kappa)r}\cdot Z(G[A],\lambda,q-1)\\ &\leq Z^{-1}\binom{n}{r}\lambda^{m-(\Delta-\kappa)r}\cdot Z((r,\lceil \kappa r\rceil,\Delta),\lambda,q-1).\end{aligned}$$

The final inequality uses the fact that when $\lambda \geq 1$, the partition function is nondecreasing under the addition of edges. Combining these bounds shows that

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \leq 2\binom{n}{r}\lambda^{-(\Delta-\kappa)r}\cdot Z((r,\lceil \kappa r\rceil,\Delta),\lambda,q-1). \tag{18}$$

Using Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z((r, \lceil \kappa r \rceil, \Delta), \lambda, q-1) &\leq (1 + (q-1)^{-1}\lambda^{\Delta})^{\lceil \kappa r/\Delta \rceil}(q-1)^r \\ &\leq (2(q-1)^{-1}\lambda^{\Delta})^{\lceil \kappa r/\Delta \rceil}(q-1)^r \\ &\leq 2\lambda^{\Delta}(2(q-1)^{-1}\lambda^{\Delta})^{\kappa r/\Delta}(q-1)^r \\ &\leq \left(4\lambda^{\kappa}(q-1)^{\frac{\Delta-\kappa}{\Delta}}\right)^r. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second inequality uses the fact that $q-1 \leq \lambda^{\Delta}$ (which follows from (16)), and the final inequality follows since $\kappa/\Delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ as well as the fact that $2^r \geq 2\lambda^{\Delta}$ (by our choice of sufficiently large n). Substituting this into (18) and applying the well-known inequality

$$\binom{n}{r} \leq \frac{n^r}{r!} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}}\left(\frac{en}{r}\right)^r$$

gives

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi r}}\left(\frac{4en}{r}\,\lambda^{-\Delta-2\kappa}\,(q-1)^{(\Delta-\kappa)/\Delta}\right)^r.$$

Now raising both sides of (16) to the power $(\Delta-\kappa)/\Delta$ and rearranging shows that

$$\frac{4en}{r}\,\lambda^{-(\Delta-2\kappa)}(q-1)^{\frac{\Delta-\kappa}{\Delta}} \leq \frac{\beta n}{4r} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore $\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi r}}\,2^{-r}$, as claimed. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{G}_{n,\Delta}$ denote the uniform probability space of all Δ-regular graphs on the vertex set $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, restricting to n even if Δ is odd. That is, "$G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,\Delta}$" means that G is a uniformly chosen Δ-regular graph on the vertex set $[n]$. In a sequence of probability spaces indexed by n, an event holds *asymptotically almost surely* (a.a.s.) if the probability that the event holds tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$.

Next, given κ we show how to choose r in order to ensure that with high probability, a random Δ-regular graph G satisfies $\alpha_r(G) \leq \kappa$.

Lemma 4.3. *Fix $\Delta \geq 3$ and let $\kappa \in \left(1, \frac{\Delta}{2}\right]$. Let*

$$\beta = \tfrac{1}{2}\,e^{-\left(1+\frac{2}{\kappa-1}\right)}\left(\frac{\Delta}{2\kappa}\right)^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{\kappa-1}\right)}, \tag{19}$$

and for each positive integer $n \geq \beta^{-1}$, define $r = r(n) = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor$, which is a positive integer. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,\Delta}$. Then a.a.s. $\alpha_r(G) \leq \kappa$.

Proof. We use the configuration model of Bollobás [2] to construct random regular graphs. In this model, to construct a random Δ-regular graph on n vertices, we take n sets (called *buckets*) each containing Δ labelled objects called *points*. Then we take a random partition P of the Δn points into $\Delta n/2$ *pairs*, where each pair is a set of two distinct points. We call P a *pairing*. By replacing each bucket by a vertex and replacing each pair by an edge between the two corresponding vertices, we obtain a multigraph $G(P)$, which may have loops and multiple edges. If $G(P)$ is simple then it is Δ-regular. It has been shown [2] that a random pairing is simple with probability tending to $\exp\left(-\frac{\Delta^2-1}{4}\right)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let $m(2a)$ denote the number of pairings of $2a$ points. It is well known that

$$m(2a) = \frac{(2a)!}{a!\,2^a}.$$

Write $[x]_a = x(x-1)\cdots(x-a+1)$ to denote the falling factorial. Now let $\mathcal{P}_{n,\Delta}$ denote the uniform probability space on the set of pairings with n buckets, each containing Δ points. Let B be a fixed set of r buckets. Given a positive integer s, let $m_B(r,s)$ be the number of pairings in $\mathcal{P}_{n,\Delta}$ in which at least s pairs are contained in B. We can obtain an overcount of $m_B(r,s)$ in the following way. We first select s pairs within B, in

$$\frac{[\Delta r]_{2s}}{s!2^s}$$

ways. Then we pair up the remaining $\Delta n - 2s$ points in $m(\Delta n - 2s)$ ways. Hence

$$m_B(r,s) \le \frac{[\Delta r]_{2s}}{s!2^s}\,\frac{(\Delta n - 2s)!}{(\Delta n/2 - s)!2^{\Delta n/2 - s}} = \frac{(\Delta r)!(\Delta n - 2s)!}{2^{\Delta n/2} s!(\Delta r - 2s)!(\Delta n/2 - s)!}.$$

Therefore the probability $p(r,s)$ that a random pairing in $\mathcal{P}_{n,\Delta}$ has at least s pairs within B is

$$p(r,s) = \frac{m_B(r,s)}{m(\Delta n)} \le \binom{\Delta n/2}{s}\frac{[\Delta r]_{2s}}{[\Delta n]_{2s}} \le \binom{\Delta n/2}{s}\left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^{2s}.$$

Let $X(r,s)$ be the random variable which counts the number of sets of r buckets which contain at least s pairs of P, for $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,\Delta}$. Using the inequality $\binom{a}{b} \le (ea/b)^b$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X(r,s)) = \binom{n}{r}p(r,s) \le \binom{n}{r}\binom{\Delta n/2}{s}\left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^{2s} \le \left(\frac{en}{r}\right)^r \left(\frac{\Delta e r^2}{2sn}\right)^s.$$

Now fix $s = \lceil \kappa r \rceil$ where, recall, $r = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor$. By definition of β we have $\Delta e r < 2\kappa n$, and hence

$$\mathbb{E}(X(r, \lceil \kappa r \rceil)) \le \left(\frac{ne}{r}\left(\frac{\Delta e r}{2\kappa n}\right)^{\kappa}\right)^r \le ((2\kappa)^{-\kappa}\, e^{\kappa+1}\, \Delta^{\kappa}\, \beta^{\kappa-1})^r.$$

When (19) holds, we see that

$$(2\kappa)^{-\kappa}\, e^{\kappa+1}\, \Delta^{\kappa}\, \beta^{\kappa-1} \le 2^{-(\kappa-1)}$$

and this upper bound is a constant in $(0,1)$ which is independent of n. Since $r \ge \beta n - 1$ it follows that $\mathbb{E}(X(r, \lceil \kappa r \rceil)) = o(1)$, and we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}(X(r, \lceil \kappa r \rceil) \mid G(P) \text{ is simple}) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}(X(r, \lceil \kappa r \rceil))}{\mathbb{P}(G(P) \text{ is simple})} = o(1).$$

This shows that when (19) holds, a.a.s. $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,\Delta}$ has the property that all subsets of vertices of size r have fewer than κr edges. □

Now we can easily show that when q is sufficiently small and n is sufficiently large, the mixing time of the Glauber dynamics is slow for almost all Δ-regular graphs.

Theorem 4.4. *Fix $\Delta \geq 3$ and let $\kappa \in \left(1, \frac{\Delta}{2}\right]$. Suppose that β is defined by (19) and let $q \geq 2$ be an integer which satisfies (16). Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,\Delta}$. Then a.a.s. the Glauber dynamics $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}(G, \lambda, q)$ satisfies*

$$\tau(\mathcal{M}) \geq 2^{\beta n - 4}.$$

Proof. For each positive integer $n \geq \beta^{-1}(2 + \Delta \log_2 \lambda)$, let $r = r(n) = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor$, which is a positive integer. By Lemma 4.3 we know that a.a.s. $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n,\Delta}$ satisfies $\alpha_r(G) \leq \kappa$. Hence a.a.s. the conductance of the corresponding Glauber dynamics $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{GD}}(G, \lambda, q)$ is bounded above by

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} 2^{-r}$$

by Lemma 4.2. Applying Theorem 4.1 completes the proof. $\square$

We conclude this section by proving Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) Given $\eta \in (0, 1)$, let $k = \lceil \eta^{-1} \rceil$ and define $c_1 = 2^{k+1}(\Delta k)^{2k}$. If $q > c_1 \lambda^{\Delta - 1 + \eta}$ then $q > c_1 \lambda^{\Delta - 1 + 1/k}$, by choice of k. Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.14.

For (ii), given $\eta \in (0, 1)$ define $\kappa = 1 + \eta/5$. Since $\Delta \geq 3$ we have $\kappa \in \left(1, \frac{6}{5}\right) \subseteq (1, \frac{\Delta}{2}]$. Define

$$c_2 = \tfrac{1}{1024} e^{-4(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa - 1})} \left(\frac{\Delta}{2\kappa}\right)^{-2(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa - 1})}.$$

By our choice of κ and since $\Delta \geq 3$, we have

$$\kappa + \frac{\kappa^2}{\Delta - \kappa} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\Delta - 1} + \eta.$$

Therefore, if

$$q - 1 \leq c_2 \lambda^{\Delta - 1 - \frac{1}{\Delta - 1} - \eta}$$

then (16) holds, and the result follows by applying Theorem 4.4. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The first and third statement follow from substituting $\Delta = 4$ into Theorem 1.2 (i) and (ii), respectively. (So c_3 is obtained by substituting $\Delta = 4$ in c_1, and c_5 is obtained from c_2 similarly.)

For (ii), let $k = \lceil 2\eta^{-1} \rceil$ and define $c_4 = (8k - 1)\, 2^{k^2 + 8k}\, k^{2k^2 + 4k}$. If $q > c_4 \lambda^{2 + \eta}$ then $q > c_4 \lambda^{2 + 2/k}$, by definition of k. Then Theorem 2.15 applies, completing the proof. $\square$

References

[1] N. Alon, A. Frieze, and D. J. A. Welsh, *Polynomial time randomised approximation schemes for Tutte-Grothendieck invariants: the dense case*, Random Structures and Algorithms **6** (1995), 459–478.

[2] B. Bollobás, *A probabilistic proof of an asymptotic formula for the number of labelled regular graphs*, European Journal of Combinatorics **1** (1980), 311–316.

[3] C. Borgs, J. T. Chayes, J. H. Kim, A. Frieze, P. Tetali, E. Vigoda and V. H. Vu, *Torpid Mixing of Some Monte Carlo Markov Chain Algorithms in Statistical Physics*, In 40th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, IEEE, New York, 1999, pp. 218–229.

[4] R. Bubley and M. Dyer, *Path coupling: a technique for proving rapid mixing in Markov chains.* In 38th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, IEEE, Los Alimitos, 1997, pp. 223–231.

[5] C. Choua, and S. Lib, *Spin systems and Political Districting Problem*, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials **310**:2-3 (2007), 2889–2891.

[6] M. Dyer, L. Goldberg, C. Greenhill, and M. Jerrum, *On the relative complexity of approximate counting problems*, Algorithmica **38**:3 (2003), 471–500.

[7] M. Dyer, L.A. Goldberg, M. Jerrum and R. Martin, *Markov chain comparison*, Probability Surveys **3** (2006), 89–111.

[8] M. Dyer and C. Greenhill, *A more rapidly mixing Markov chain for graph colourings*, Random Structures and Algorithms **13** (1998), 285–317.

[9] H. Finner, *A generalisation of Hölder's inequality and some probability inequalities*, The Annals of Probability **20** (1992), 1893–1901.

[10] A. Frieze and E. Vigoda, *A Survey on the use of Markov Chains to Randomly Sample Colorings.* In Combinatorics, Complexity and Chance, Oxford University Press, 2007.

[11] L. A. Goldberg and M. R. Jerrum, *Approximating the partition function of the ferromagnetic Potts model*, Journal of the ACM **59** (2012), Article 25.

[12] L. A. Goldberg and M. R. Jerrum, *Inapproximability of the tutte polynomial*, Information and Computation **206**:7 (2008), 908–929.

[13] F. Graner and J.A. Glazier, *Simulation of Biological Cell Sorting Using a Two-Dimensional Extended Potts Model*, Physical Review Letters **69** (1992), 2013–2016.

[14] C. Greenhill and M. Ullrich, *Heat-bath Markov chains have no negative eigenvalues*, (preprint, 2013). `arxiv.org:1301.4055 [math.CO]`

[15] T. Hayes, *A simple condition implying rapid mixing of single-site dynamics on spin systems*, In 47th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, IEEE, Berkeley, California, 2006, pp.39–46.

[16] F. Jaeger, D. Vertigan and D.J.A. Welsh, *On the computational complexity of the Jones and Tutte polynomials*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **108** (1990), 35–53.

[17] M. R. Jerrum, *A very simple algorithm for estimating the number of k-colourings of a low-degree graph*, Random Structures and Algorithms **7**:2 (1995), 157–165.

[18] M. Jerrum and A. Sinclair, *Approximating the permanent*, SIAM Journal on Computing **18** (1989), 1149-1178.

[19] M. R. Jerrum and A. Sinclair, *Polynomial-time approximation algorithms for the Ising model*, SIAM Journal of Computing **22** (1993), 1087–1116.

[20] M. Jerrum and A. Sinclair, *The Markov chain Monte Carlo method: an approach to approximate counting and integration.* In Approximation Algorithms for NP-hard Problems, (Dorit Hochbaum, ed.), PWS, 1996.

[21] D. Karger, *A randomised fully polynomial time approximation scheme for the all terminal network reliability problem*, SIAM Journal of Computing **29**:2 (1999), 492–514.

[22] F. Martinelli, *Lectures on Glauber dynamics for discrete spin models*, Lectures on Probability Theory and Statistics (Saint-Flour, 1997), Lecture Notes in Math. **1717**, 93–191, Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[23] R. B. Potts, *Some generalized order-disorder transformations*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **48** (1952), 106–109.

[24] J. Salas and A. Sokal, *Absence of phase transition for antiferromagnetic Potts models via the Dobrushin uniqueness theorem*, Journal of Statistical Physics **86**:3-4 (1997), 551–579.

[25] A. Sinclair and M. Jerrum, *Approximate counting, uniform generation and rapidly mixing Markov chains*, Information and Computation **82** (1989), 93–133.

[26] R. H. Swendsen and J-S. Wang, *Non-universal critical dynamics in Monte-Carlo simulations*, Physical Review Letters **58** (1987), 86–88.

[27] M. Ullrich *Rapid mixing of Swendsen-Wang dynamics in two dimensions*, PhD Thesis, 2012.

[28] J. Voit, *The statistical mechanics of financial markets*, Springer, New York, 2001.

[29] D. J. A. Welsh, *Complexity: Knots, colourings and counting*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, Vol. 186, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[30] F. Y. Wu, *The Potts model*, Reviews of Modern Physics **54** (1982), 235–268.